

TABLES

Table 1	Water Level Measurements
Table 2	RCRA Landfill Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 3	CERCLA Leachate Collection System Production, Treatment System Volumes, and Discharge Volumes
Table 4	CERCLA Leachate Collection System Analytical Results
Table 5	CERCLA Treatment System Analytical Results
Table 6	CERCLA Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 7	Groundwater Analytical Results for Non-PAHs

FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location
- Figure 2 Site Layout
- Figure 3 Monitoring Well Locations
- Figure 4 RCRA Landfill Monitoring Locations
- Figure 5 Potentiometric Surface Map for S & S_L Zones, September 2017
- Figure 6 RCRA Landfill Groundwater Quality, September 2017
- Figure 7 Potentiometric Surface Map for Perched Zone, September 2017
- Figure 8 Potentiometric Surface Map for A Aquifer, September 2017
- Figure 9 Cyanide Concentrations in Perched Zone

FIGURES cont.

Figure 25	Sulfate Dot Map in S and SL Zones, September 2017
Figure 26	WAD Cyanide Dot Map in A Aquifer, September 2017
Figure 27	Fluoride Dot Map in A Aquifer, September 2017
Figure 28	Sulfate Dot Map in A Aquifer, September 2017

CHARTS

Chart 1	RCRA Leachate Collection System Production
Chart 2	RCRA Groundwater Hydrograph – S Zone
Chart 3	RCRA Groundwater Analytical Data – WAD Cyanide
Chart 4	RCRA Groundwater Analytical Data – Fluoride
Chart 5	RCRA Groundwater Analytical Data – Sulfate
Chart 6	CERCLA Leachate Collection System Production vs. Precipitation

APPENDICES

Appendix A	RCRA Inspection Forms
Appendix B	Precipitation Data
Appendix C	Groundwater Sampling Field Forms
Appendix D	Laboratory Reports
Appendix E	Data Validation Reports

- Appendix F CERCLA Inspection Forms
- Appendix G Monthly POTW Reports
- Appendix H Scrubber Sludge Pond Inspection Form
- Appendix I Hydrographs

• Scrubber Sludge Ponds.

Northwest Aluminum Company N(AC) is the current owner of record for the largest part of the former plant property not owned by Lockheed Martin.

2.0 RCRA POST-CLOSURE CARE PROGRAM

The RCRA PosClosure Care Permit specifies postsurerequirements for the RCRA Landfill

- Maintain the integrity and effectiveness of the final landfill cover, including preventing stormwater ruron and runoff from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover, and repairing the cover as necessary to correct effects of settling, subsidence, erosion, or other events.
- Operate and monitor the leachate collection and removal system.
- Maintain and monitor the groundwater monitoring system and comply with other applicable requirements of Title 40 Code of Federab Relations (CFR) Part 264.
- Protect and maintain surveyed benchmarks used in complying withreying and recordkeeping requirements of 40 CFR 264.309.

To support these requirements, the following activities werformed:

- Inspections of the RCRAdndfill final cover,
- Inspections of the CS,
- Periodic removal and offsite disposal of RCRA Landfill leachate, and
- Semiannual groundwater monitoring in accordance with the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (Amec Foster Wheeler, 20)17

The layout of the MMRF Site, including the RCRAandfill is shown on Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the locations of all its monitoring wells Figure 4 shows a closeup view of the locations of the RCRA monitoring wells. Figures 5 and fovide details on groundwater elevations and grout grout quality near the RCRA and fill. Water level information for September 2017 is provided on Table 1. Groundwater quality data for the RCRA and fill is provided in Table 2 Chart 1 presents the RCRA LCS production rate through September 2017, the last time leachate was removed for disposal

Lockheed Martinapproved Subtitle C facilityGroundwater elevation data are presented graphically on Chart 2. Groundwater quality data arespected graphically on Charts 3 through 5.

2.1 RCRA LANDFILL AND LCS INSPECTIONS

The RCRA landfill cover and LCS are inspected semiannually and quarterly, respectively Inspections are also performed after severe weather events betweet monitor for deterioration, malfunction, or improper operation of the ron- and runoff systems, and to verify proper functioning of the leachetcollection system

The seminnual inspection of the RCRAabdfill consisted of:

- Cover inspection (checking for erosion, animal burrows, and woody vegetation),
- Fence and gate inspection (checking fence and gate integrity to ensure that warning signs are in place),
- Drainage system inspection (checking for ponded water or blockages in the channels or culvertsand checking cap drain discharge p)pesd
- Inspection of the area adjacent to the datil (checking for riprap erosion, ponded water, silt deposits, and damaged well heads

The quarterly RCRA LCS inspectise onsisted of:

- Inspecting the sump leak detection system, fluid hegel warning lights, and testing of the system alarm autodiate
- Inspecting the temporary storage drums, and
- Inspecting the building and building slab.

The following quarterly RCRA inspections were performed during the reporting period:

2.2.3 Leachate Sampling and Analysis

No sampling of leachate was conducted during the reporting period. The two transfers were disposed of under exisiting Chemical Waste Management profile OR333176.

2.3 RCRA LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING

2.3.1 Objective

The groundwater monitoring points of compliance consist of one upgraidide onol]TJ0 Tc0 Tw T

The groundwater samples were collected using laboratoppplied bottles, placed on ice, and transported under hain of custody to the contract laborator pex Laboratories (Apex) in Tigard, Oregon, for analysis Apex is accredited by the State of Oregon Frontinental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ORELARDR100062) and is an approved laboratory for analysis of the RCRA PostClosure Care Permit groundwater sampTense groundwater samples were analyzed for:

- WAD cyanide by method SM 4500N-I/E
- Total cyanide by EPA Method 335.4
- Fluoride by EPA SW846-9056
- Sulfate by EPA Method 300.0

The analytical report (received on October 1270,17) is provided in Appendix DValidation of the data was completed @nctober20, 2017(SeeAppendix B) Vrey de Sa38 Td [4()5(of)3(p-1(I)-2(yt)3(i)]T1-1(

2.4.1 Groundwater Flow

Water level measurements were evaluated to assess the magnitude and direction of the hydraulic gradient. Water levels in all nine RCRA groundwater monitoring wells were measured and recorded on September 25, 2017. These water levels were used to prepare a water map for the S Zone (See Figure 5).

Groundwater flow velocities within the S Zonvære estimated on the basis briet measured groundwater gradient and hydraulic conductivity estimates from aquifer tests (ARCADIS, G&M 2001). The estimated average hydraobinductivity value for the S Zonae intervals intercepting the Byron Interbed is approximately 6×10^{-10} centimeters per second (the measured range is 3.2^{-10} to 1.2×10^{-2} centimeters per second RCADIS G&M, 2001).

Because groundwater moves only through pores (a combination of fractures within basalt and the interstitial spaces between sediments within the Byron Interbed of the SaZthne NAC Site), a term for effective porosity (a) is included in the expression seepage velocity (a) or average linear velocity

concentrations ranged from 0.136 mg//L/(V/-36S) to 9.21mg/L (MW-5S). Sulfateconcentrations ranged from 22.9mg/L (MW-23S) to 77.5mg/L (MWR-4S).

3.0 CERCLA POST-CLOSURE CARE PROGRAM

The CERCLA Landfill and LCS and Scrubber Sludge Pon destClosureCarerequirements consist of the following:

- Maintain the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover, including prevention-offrand run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover and repairing the cap as necessary to correct the effects of settling, subsidence, erosion, or other events.
- Continue to operate and monitor the leachate collection and treatment system.
- Maintain and monitor the groundwatermonitoring system and comply with all other applicable requirements of 40 CFR Part 264.
- Present groundwater quality and hydrogeology data.
- Protect and maintain surveyed benchmarks.

To help meethese requirements, the following activities are performed:

- Inspections of the CERCLAandfill final cover,
- Inspections of the LCS,
- Inspection of the Scrubber Sludge Ponds, and
- Annual groundwater monitoring in accordance with the SAP.

The layout of the CERCLA and fill is provided on Figure 2 and fill leachate production and quality data for the CERCLA Landfill are provided in Table 3 and 4. Chart 6 psents the CERCLA leachate production rate versus precipitatides.

3.1 CERCLA LANDFILL AND LCS I

3.2 LEACHATE COLLECTION, TREATMENT, SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS, AND DISCHARGE

The following sections describe activities sociated with the CERCLALCS between April and September 2017.

3.2.1 Background

The CERCLA LCS, which consists of perforated pipe buined covered collection trench, surrounds threesides of the landfill (Figure) 2 and captures both shallow groundwater and leachate that migrates to the shallow groundwate cachate drains nder gravity to two lift stations; Lift Station 2 pumps leachate over a rock outcrop to Lift Station 1, and Lift Station 1 pumps directly to the CERCLA Treatment System Discharge of treated leachate is the new veyed to the City of The Dalles ublicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) system. Discharge to the POTW is cerddurd of The Dalles Industrial Pretreatment Program under Permit Number 2003.6

3.2.2 Leachate Collection

Total influent to the CERCLA Treatment Systemuring the reporting period (April 201th rough September 2017) was 399,600 gallons. Table 3 present nonthly LCS flows measured at Lift Stations 1 and 2. Table 3 also presents monthly precipitationate totals. Increasing leachate production lag slightly behind increased precipitation precipitation on Chart 6.

3.2.3 Treatment

An ion exchange resin treatmetysteem was constructed at the CERCLA facility in the fall of 2014 The CERCLA Treatment Systemses ion exchange resin media to remove cyanide compounds from the combinedCERCLA landfill leachate and shallow groundwater that are collected in the LCS and conveyed to the Treatment Systemm Lift Station #1 The CERCLA Treatment Systems located within the CERCLA Building located within the CERCLeecondary containment/Intreated leachate is first conveyed through particulate bag filters to remove solids **treatment** in a series (ion exchange media in lead and lag vee)sed infiguration After removing cyanide compounds throughion exchange in the two vessels, the **treate**achate is routed through another bag filter to collect potential resimmediathat have exited hevessels The treated leachate is then conveyed three City of The Dalles POTW syste(Permit Number 201603).

3.2.4 Sampling and Analysis

Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit No. 2006-requires regular sampling treated effluent conducted at least monthly addition, leachate at Lift Stations 1 and 2 and Manholes 2 and 4 is sampled at a minimum yearly or quarterly as needed for system checks.

Leachate in Ite LCS (Lift Station #1 and Lift Station #2 and Manhole 2 and 4) was sampleon June 13, 2017, and September 20, 2017 (Table He LCS samples were analyzed for:

- WAD cyanide by method SM 4500N-I/E
- Total cyanide by EPA Method 335.4
- Fluoride by EPA SW846-9056
- Sulfate by EPA Method 300.0

Results of the analytical data from the CERCLA LCSbfetween 2014 and 201b represented in Table 4. Laboratory reportand chair of-custodydocumentation are provided in Appendix.D

3.2.5 POTW Discharge and Sampling

In February 2017, discharge of treatedueen to the POTW began under Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit No. 2010093. Semicontinuous POTW discharge replaced previous conveyance and ischarge through a multi-party National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) outfall. Treatedleachate isconveyed to the City of The Dalles POTW collection system through a discharge pipeline that was constructed in 2010 EQ was notified of the change in discharge method in a II.S Permit Change methor In 2010 EQ was notified of the change in discharge method in a II.S Permit Change Martin, 2046 btal of 351,375 gallons were discharged to the POTW during the reporting period (Table 3). Volume ghted compliance sampling was performed at the frequency and for the analytes specified in the Indus

3.3 SCRUBBER SLUDGE PONDS I

cyanide provides a conservative estimate of free cyanide, becaulted etect both the free form and disassociated complexes.

The 2013 EPAfive-year review indicated that carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydro**na**rbo (cPAH) compounds should be considered as constituents of concern (COCs) at the site, with MCLs being the relevant comparison basis. This has not been promulgate **E**SDabut is considered in discussion of the analytical data collected for the Compresible Groundwater Monitoring **B**gram

4.3 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Groundwater monitoring consisted of manual water level measuremeentist, oring of pressure

five

The data from the September 20**\$** amplingeventis summarized on Tables 6 and 7. The laboratory reports are provided Appendix D Appendix Epresent the data validation report

4.3.2 Water Level Measurements

Water level monitoring was also conducted at 49 locations Sumptember 25, 2017. Groundwater levels were measured the nearest 0.01 foot at all wells samplusing an electronic water level meter. The depth to groundwater was also measured in Sampled wells on the day of sampling. Tabulated water level measurements are presented in Table 1. Beginning in December 2014, groundwater levels in MW104P and MW1301S were also measured using pressure transducers support conceptual site module velopment for the Perched and Samples. Instrument Northwest PT2X pressure transducers fitted with vented, direct communication cables were used to measure water levels once per hour the monitoring period Graphs of groundwater levels from MW03P and MW13-01S are presented Td [(u)1(s1)-2(p)1(r)4(0.094 5 0 Td [(e6.hc -0(e6.hc -n(R)m83 03Ep2Ps)-1(t))]

The hydratic gradient for the S Zonen(cluding the S wells) shown on Figure (Septembe2017) indicates that the horizontal gundwater flow direction for the S Zone for much of the S to the southeast, toward the Columbia River at the CERCLA and fill, however, the horizontal flow direction is to the north, towards Chenoweth Creek, which in turn drains northeastwated to t Columbia River. The water levels plotted on Figureats indicate locally higher groundwater elevations around wells/W13-southeaud(c)nins3(e)-1(c)-(r)-2(d t)8.69556.08el35 0 Td3H2

6.0 REFERENCES

- AMEC, 2014aAddendum 1.0 to Final Work Plan for Comprehensive Groundwater Investigation at the Former Martin Marietta Reduction Facility, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Dalles, Oregon. June 9.
- AMEC, 2014b. Addendum 2.0 to Final Work Plan for Comprehensive Groundwater Investigation at the Former Martin Marietta Reduction Facility, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Dalles, Oregon. June 16.
- AMEC, 2014c Addendum 3.0 to Final Work Plan for Comprehensive Groundwater Investigation at the Former Marti Marietta Reduction Facility, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Dalles, Oregon. June 24.
- AMEC, 2014d. Comprehensive Groundwater Investigation First Technical Memora**Fdum**er Martin Marietta Reduction Facility, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Dallesgor. October 14.
- Amec Foster Wheele2015a Addendum 4.0, Final Work Plan for Comprehensive Groundwater Investigation,Former Martin Marietta Reduction Facility, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Dalles, OregonMay 20.
- Amec Foster Wheeler, 2015b. Final S

Amec Foster Wheeler, 2016c. Operations and Maintenance Manual, CERCLA Landfill, CERCLA

- EPA, 2013b. EPA Contract Laboratory Program National Fonati Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review. EPA 540 R 13 001.
- EPA, 2013c EPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review. EPA/540 R 014 002.